


Browns Ferry 2

2Q/2015 Performance Indicators

The solid trend line represents the current reporting period.

Licensee's General Comments: none

Unplanned Scrams per 7000 Critical Hrs




Thresholds: White > 3.0 Yellow > 6.0 Red > 25.0

Notes

Unplanned Scrams per 7000 Critical Hrs	3Q/13	4Q/13	1Q/14	2Q/14	3Q/14	4Q/14	1Q/15	2Q/15
Unplanned scrams	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Critical hours	2208.0	2209.0	2159.0	2184.0	2122.1	2209.0	1725.0	1974.5
Indicator value	0.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Licensee Comments: none

Unplanned Power Changes per 7000 Critical Hrs




Thresholds: White > 6.0

Notes

Unplanned Power Changes per 7000 Critical Hrs	3Q/13	4Q/13	1Q/14	2Q/14	3Q/14	4Q/14	1Q/15	2Q/15
Unplanned power changes	1.0	1.0	1.0	0	0	0	0	0
Critical hours	2208.0	2209.0	2159.0	2184.0	2122.1	2209.0	1725.0	1974.5
Indicator value	0.9	1.9	2.6	2.4	1.6	0.8	0	0

Licensee Comments: none

Unplanned Scrams with Complications




Thresholds: White > 1.0

Notes

Unplanned Scrams with Complications	3Q/13	4Q/13	1Q/14	2Q/14	3Q/14	4Q/14	1Q/15	2Q/15
Scrams with complications	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Indicator value	1.0	0.0						

Licensee Comments: none

Safety System Functional Failures (BWR)



Thresholds: White > 6.0

Notes

Safety System Functional Failures (BWR)	3Q/13	4Q/13	1Q/14	2Q/14	3Q/14	4Q/14	1Q/15	2Q/15
Safety System Functional Failures	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Indicator value	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1

Licensee Comments:


2Q/15: Changing PRA parameters did not result in any indicator color changes.

2Q/15: This comment was updated after quarterly files were created.

3Q/14: 07/21/2014 - LER 260/2014-003-00 - Both Trains of Standby Liquid Control Inoperable

4Q/13: LER 50-260/2013-002-00, High Pressure Coolant Injection System Declared Inoperable Due to an Unqualified Electrical Splice

Mitigating Systems Performance Index, Emergency AC Power System



Thresholds: White > 1.00E-6 Yellow > 1.00E-5 Red > 1.00E-4

Notes

Mitigating Systems Performance Index, Emergency AC Power System	3Q/13	4Q/13	1Q/14	2Q/14	3Q/14	4Q/14	1Q/15	2Q/15
UAI (Δ CDF)	2.67E-08	4.45E-08	1.66E-08	1.00E-08	1.22E-08	1.15E-08	1.32E-08	-6.76E-09
URI (Δ CDF)	2.01E-06	2.07E-06	2.13E-06	-3.05E-08	3.02E-10	3.32E-08	2.24E-07	2.16E-07
PLE	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
Indicator value	2.00E-06	2.10E-06	2.10E-06	-2.00E-08	1.30E-08	4.50E-08	2.40E-07	2.10E-07

Licensee Comments:

2Q/15: Risk Cap Invoked. Changed PRA Parameter(s). The MSPI Risk Cap is invoked. The contribution from the highest worth single failure (2.06E-06) has been replaced by a value of 5.00E-07. Changing PRA parameters did not result in any indicator color changes.

2Q/15: Risk Cap Invoked. The MSPI Risk Cap is invoked. The contribution from the highest worth single failure (2.06E-06) has been replaced by a value of 5.00E-07. Changing PRA parameters did not result in any indicator color changes. The BFN PRA Model Revision 6 was approved on 1/23/15 with a corresponding MSPI Basis Document Revisions approved on 7/17/15. The PRA model revision incorporated the Fire PRA (FPRA) and the Internal Events PRA (IE) into one model to assure the basic structure of both models remains consistent.. Additionally, identified errors, deficiencies, or over conservatisms in the Rev.5 model were corrected. As a result of the PRA model change, there is a slight decrease in CDF and a slight increase in LERF. Emergency AC Specific Change: In order for LOSP to result in core damage, multiple additional systems have to fail. Most important of those are the EDGs. In Rev. 5, failure of multiple EDGs was assumed to occur at the same time at T=0 (beginning of the event). If AC power is not restored in 4 hours core damage is likely to occur. This is an

unlikely occurrence. A more likely scenario is that the EDGs will fail at random times over an extended period of time, resulting in a higher probability that offsite power can be restore before all the EDGs fail or before core damage occurs. Convolution adjusts the offsite power recovery probabilities to account for this fact. This reduces the LOSP CDF and LERF contribution and EDG importance. This change was the sole reason for the net CDF decrease between Rev. 5 and Rev. 6. This comment was updated after the quarterly files were created.

1Q/15: Risk Cap Invoked. The MSPI Risk Cap is invoked. The contribution from the highest worth single failure (2.00E-06) has been replaced by a value of 5.00E-07.

4Q/14: Risk Cap Invoked. The MSPI Risk Cap is invoked. The contribution from the highest worth single failure (2.00E-06) has been replaced by a value of 5.00E-07. Unavailability hours inappropriately missed for DG A from 9/30/2014 were added to September's data. These hours were due to vibration repairs that placed DG A in an unanalyzed condition and unavailability did accrue. There is no indicator color change associated with this revision.

3Q/14: Risk Cap Invoked. The MSPI Risk Cap is invoked. The contribution from the highest worth single failure (1.94E-06) has been replaced by a value of 5.00E-07. Unavailability hours inappropriately missed for DG A from 9/30/2014 were added after quarterly approval. These hours were due to vibration repairs that placed DG A in an unanalyzed condition and unavailability did accrue. There is no indicator color change associated with this revision.

3Q/14: Risk Cap Invoked. The MSPI Risk Cap is invoked. The contribution from the highest worth single failure (1.94E-06) has been replaced by a value of 5.00E-07.

2Q/14: Risk Cap Invoked. The MSPI Risk Cap is invoked. The contribution from the highest worth single failure (1.88E-06) has been replaced by a value of 5.00E-07.


1Q/14: Risk Cap Invoked. The MSPI Risk Cap is invoked. The contribution from the highest worth single failure (1.24E-06) has been replaced by a value of 5.00E-07. The fuel fitting leak on 11/23/2013, previously documented as an MSPI failure, was subsequently evaluated further, based on additional information, and determined not to be a MSPI failure. The 4th Quarter 2013 data has been updated to remove the MSPI failure. This change will not affect the color of the indicator.

4Q/13: Risk Cap Invoked. The MSPI Risk Cap is invoked. The contribution from the highest worth single failure (1.22E-06) has been replaced by a value of 5.00E-07. There was one additional failure during the 4th Quarter 2013. On 11/23/2013, EDG A was removed from service to repair a fuel fitting which failed during a run.

4Q/13: Risk Cap Invoked. The MSPI Risk Cap is invoked. The contribution from the highest worth single failure (1.22E-06) has been replaced by a value of 5.00E-07. The fuel fitting leak on 11/23/2013, previously documented as a MSPI failure, was subsequently evaluated further, based on additional information, and determined not to be a MSPI failure.

3Q/13: Risk Cap Invoked. The MSPI Risk Cap is invoked. The contribution from one Failure to Run (1.19E-06) has been replaced by a value of 5.00E-07.

Mitigating Systems Performance Index, High Pressure Injection System



Thresholds: White > 1.00E-6 Yellow > 1.00E-5 Red > 1.00E-4

Notes


Mitigating Systems Performance Index, High Pressure Injection System	3Q/13	4Q/13	1Q/14	2Q/14	3Q/14	4Q/14	1Q/15	2Q/15
UAI (Δ CDF)	1.24E-07	1.34E-07	1.21E-07	1.08E-07	1.10E-07	-2.60E-08	-2.51E-08	-4.52E-08
URI (Δ CDF)	-1.69E-07	-2.28E-07						
PLE	NO							
Indicator value	-4.50E-08	-3.60E-08	-4.80E-08	-6.20E-08	-5.90E-08	-2.00E-07	-1.90E-07	-2.70E-07

Licensee Comments:

2Q/15: Changing PRA parameters did not result in any indicator color changes. The BFN PRA Model Revision 6 was approved on 1/23/15 with a corresponding MSPI Basis Document Revisions approved on 7/17/15. The PRA model revision incorporated the Fire PRA (FPRA) and the Internal Events PRA (IE) into one model to assure the basic structure of both models remains consistent.. Additionally, identified errors, deficiencies, or over conservatism in the Rev.5 model were corrected. As a result of the PRA model change, there is a slight decrease in CDF and a slight increase in LERF. This comment was updated after the quarterly files were created.

2Q/15: Changed PRA Parameter(s). Changing PRA parameters did not result in any indicator color changes.

Mitigating Systems Performance Index, Heat Removal System



Thresholds: White > 1.00E-6 Yellow > 1.00E-5 Red > 1.00E-4

Notes

Mitigating Systems Performance Index, Heat Removal System	3Q/13	4Q/13	1Q/14	2Q/14	3Q/14	4Q/14	1Q/15	2Q/15
UAI (Δ CDF)	1.42E-07	1.73E-07	1.63E-07	1.60E-07	1.61E-07	1.32E-07	1.36E-07	1.43E-07
URI (Δ CDF)	9.54E-08	9.54E-08	9.54E-08	9.89E-08	9.89E-08	9.89E-08	9.89E-08	1.31E-07
PLE	NO							
Indicator value	2.40E-07	2.70E-07	2.60E-07	2.60E-07	2.60E-07	2.30E-07	2.30E-07	2.70E-07


Licensee Comments:

2Q/15: Changing PRA parameters did not result in any indicator color changes. The BFN PRA Model Revision 6 was approved on 1/23/15 with a corresponding MSPI Basis Document Revisions approved on 7/17/15. The PRA model revision incorporated the Fire PRA (FPRA) and the Internal Events PRA (IE) into one model to assure the basic structure of both models remains consistent.. Additionally, identified errors, deficiencies, or over conservatisms in the Rev.5 model were corrected. As a result of the PRA model change, there is a slight decrease in CDF and a slight increase in LERF. This comment was updated after the quarterly files were created.

2Q/15: Changed PRA Parameter(s). Changing PRA parameters did not result in any indicator color changes.

3Q/13: Added previously uncounted RCIC injection demands. Added demand data for Unit 2 in April 2011 and December 2012. BFNs interpretation of what RCIC demands need to be counted changed for RCIC. This did not impact the MSPI color of RCIC.

Mitigating Systems Performance Index, Residual Heat Removal System



Thresholds: White > 1.00E-6 Yellow > 1.00E-5 Red > 1.00E-4

Notes

Mitigating Systems Performance Index, Residual Heat Removal System	3Q/13	4Q/13	1Q/14	2Q/14	3Q/14	4Q/14	1Q/15	2Q/15
UAI (ΔCDF)	5.24E-08	5.98E-08	5.28E-08	5.85E-08	4.67E-08	3.69E-08	3.75E-08	4.42E-08
URI (ΔCDF)	-1.26E-07	-7.60E-08						
PLE	NO							
Indicator value	-7.40E-08	-6.70E-08	-7.40E-08	-6.80E-08	-8.00E-08	-9.00E-08	-8.90E-08	-3.20E-08

Licensee Comments:


2Q/15: Changing PRA parameters did not result in any indicator color changes. The BFN PRA Model Revision 6 was approved on 1/23/15 with a corresponding MSPI Basis Document Revisions approved on 7/17/15. The PRA model revision incorporated the Fire PRA (FPRA) and the Internal Events PRA (IE) into one model to assure the basic structure of both models remains consistent.. Additionally, identified errors, deficiencies, or over conservatisms in the Rev.5 model were corrected. As a result of the PRA model change, there is a slight decrease in CDF and a slight increase in LERF. This comment was updated after the quarterly files were created. MSPI failures were added after the quarterly files were created.

2Q/15: Changed PRA Parameter(s). Changing PRA parameters did not result in any indicator color changes.

1Q/14: During the first quarter of 2014, the following changes were made to numerical values in the INPO CDE database for the Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant (BFN). 1. Common Cause Factor (CCF) for 3-FCV-023-0034 was

changed to the correct value of 2.00. Effective 2011-01 to present. 2. Operational Non-test demands(D) value for 2-FCV-023-0046 was changed to the correct value of 82. Effective 2012-01 to present. 3. Operational Non-test demands(D) value for 3-FCV-023-0040 was changed to the correct value of 88. Effective 2012-01 to present. 4. Operational Non-test run-hours value for 1-PMP-074-0039 was changed to the correct value of 333.54 hours. Effective 2011-01 to present. 5. Test run-hours value for 1-PMP-074-0039 was changed to the correct value of 31.87 hours. Effective 2011-01 to present. These changes result in the BFN Residual Heat Removal System MSPI indicator values for past reporting periods to be different than previously reported, as indicated by the effective dates identified above. No MSPI color changes resulted from these changes to the numerical values. Reference BFN Problem Evaluation Report (PER) 851845.

Mitigating Systems Performance Index, Cooling Water Systems



Thresholds: White > 1.00E-6 Yellow > 1.00E-5 Red > 1.00E-4

Notes


Mitigating Systems Performance Index, Cooling Water Systems	3Q/13	4Q/13	1Q/14	2Q/14	3Q/14	4Q/14	1Q/15	2Q/15
UAI (ΔCDF)	1.25E-07	1.40E-07	1.34E-07	1.25E-07	1.15E-07	8.02E-08	7.92E-08	8.81E-08
URI (ΔCDF)	-2.28E-08	-2.28E-08	-2.28E-08	-3.90E-08	-3.90E-08	-3.90E-08	-4.70E-08	-3.73E-08
PLE	NO							
Indicator value	1.00E-07	1.20E-07	1.10E-07	8.60E-08	7.60E-08	4.10E-08	3.20E-08	5.10E-08

Licensee Comments:

2Q/15: Changing PRA parameters did not result in any indicator color changes. The BFN PRA Model Revision 6 was approved on 1/23/15 with a corresponding MSPI Basis Document Revisions approved on 7/17/15. The PRA model revision incorporated the Fire PRA (FPRA) and the Internal Events PRA (IE) into one model to assure the basic structure of both models remains consistent.. Additionally, identified errors, deficiencies, or over conservatisms in the Rev.5 model were corrected. As a result of the PRA model change, there is a slight decrease in CDF and a slight increase in LERF. This comment was updated after the quarterly files were created.

2Q/15: Changed PRA Parameter(s). Changing PRA parameters did not result in any indicator color changes.

Reactor Coolant System Activity



Thresholds: White > 50.0 Yellow > 100.0


Notes

Reactor Coolant System Activity	7/13	8/13	9/13	10/13	11/13	12/13	1/14	2/14	3/14	4/14	5/14	6/14
Maximum activity	0.000037	0.000040	0.000049	0.000064	0.000072	0.000057	0.000109	0.000108	0.000104	0.000059	0.000075	0.000056
Technical specification limit	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2
Indicator value	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Reactor Coolant System Activity	7/14	8/14	9/14	10/14	11/14	12/14	1/15	2/15	3/15	4/15	5/15	6/15
Maximum activity	0.000056	0.000055	0.000070	0.000057	0.000074	0.000057	0.000076	0.000076	0.000052	0.000027	0.000029	0.000028
Technical specification limit	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2
Indicator value	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Licensee Comments: none

Reactor Coolant System Leakage



Thresholds: White > 50.0 Yellow > 100.0


Notes

Reactor Coolant System Leakage	7/13	8/13	9/13	10/13	11/13	12/13	1/14	2/14	3/14	4/14	5/14	6/14
Maximum leakage	1.750	1.770	1.840	1.840	1.600	1.590	1.600	1.520	1.540	2.080	1.600	1.930
Technical specification limit	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0
Indicator value	5.8	5.9	6.1	6.1	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.1	5.1	6.9	5.3	6.4
Reactor Coolant System Leakage	7/14	8/14	9/14	10/14	11/14	12/14	1/15	2/15	3/15	4/15	5/15	6/15

Maximum leakage	1.990	6.940	1.580	1.550	1.550	1.580	1.590	1.710	1.760	1.780	1.760	1.510
Technical specification limit	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0
Indicator value	6.6	23.1	5.3	5.2	5.2	5.3	5.3	5.7	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.0

Licensee Comments: none

Drill/Exercise Performance



Thresholds: White < 90.0% Yellow < 70.0%


Notes

Drill/Exercise Performance	3Q/13	4Q/13	1Q/14	2Q/14	3Q/14	4Q/14	1Q/15	2Q/15
Successful opportunities	40.0	70.0	12.0	63.0	86.0	4.0	46.0	16.0
Total opportunities	42.0	70.0	12.0	64.0	90.0	4.0	46.0	16.0
Indicator value	98.9%	99.1%	99.1%	99.0%	98.0%	97.9%	98.0%	98.0%

Licensee Comments:

1Q/14: Revised Successful drill, exer & event opportunities to reflect an additional DEP failure for the September (3rd quarter) 2013 report period. This revision did not result in a color change. PER # 836157

ERO Drill Participation




Thresholds: White < 80.0% Yellow < 60.0%

Notes

ERO Drill Participation	3Q/13	4Q/13	1Q/14	2Q/14	3Q/14	4Q/14	1Q/15	2Q/15
Participating Key personnel	79.0	81.0	76.0	78.0	77.0	76.0	80.0	88.0
Total Key personnel	80.0	82.0	76.0	78.0	79.0	76.0	80.0	88.0
Indicator value	98.8%	98.8%	100.0%	100.0%	97.5%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Licensee Comments: none

Alert & Notification System




Thresholds: White < 94.0% Yellow < 90.0%

Notes

Alert & Notification System	3Q/13	4Q/13	1Q/14	2Q/14	3Q/14	4Q/14	1Q/15	2Q/15
Successful siren-tests	932	622	1040	624	1038	621	1040	624
Total sirens-tests	932	624	1040	624	1040	624	1040	624
Indicator value	100.0%	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%

Licensee Comments: none

Occupational Exposure Control Effectiveness




Thresholds: White > 2.0 Yellow > 5.0

Notes

Occupational Exposure Control Effectiveness	3Q/13	4Q/13	1Q/14	2Q/14	3Q/14	4Q/14	1Q/15	2Q/15
High radiation area occurrences	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Very high radiation area occurrences	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unintended exposure occurrences	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Indicator value	0							

Licensee Comments: none

RETS/ODCM Radiological Effluent



Thresholds: White > 1.0 Yellow > 3.0

Notes

RETS/ODCM Radiological Effluent	3Q/13	4Q/13	1Q/14	2Q/14	3Q/14	4Q/14	1Q/15	2Q/15
RETS/ODCM occurrences	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Indicator value	0							

Licensee Comments: none

Although the Security Cornerstone is included in the Reactor Oversight Process assessment program, the Commission has decided that specific information related to findings and performance indicators pertaining to the Security Cornerstone will not be publicly available to ensure that security information is not provided to a possible adversary. Other than the fact that a finding or performance indicator is Green or Greater-Than-Green, security related information will not be displayed on the public web page.

▲ [Action Matrix Summary](#) | [Inspection Findings Summary](#) | [PI Summary](#) | [Reactor Oversight Process](#)

Last Modified: July 24, 2015