

## Quad Cities 2 2Q/2014 Plant Inspection Findings

---

### Initiating Events

**Significance:** G Jun 30, 2014

Identified By: Self-Revealing

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

#### **FAILURE TO MEET DESIGN REQUIREMENT FOR SAFETY-RELATED CABLES IN 'D' HEATER BAY**

A finding of very low safety significance (Green) with an associated non-cited violation of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion III, "Design Control," was self-revealed for the licensee's failure to demonstrate compliance with ComEd Standard N-EM-0035 for safety-related cables within the Unit 2 'D' Heater Bay. Specifically, the licensee failed to route the Instrument Bus and Essential Service (ESS) Bus cables with minimum cable static bend radius requirements in a manner consistent with N-EM-0035. This resulted in an event that caused a fire in the turbine building, smoke in various motor control center (MCC) cubicles due to overheated control power transformers (CPTs) (including one safety-related MCC), a manual scram and main steam isolation, and an Alert emergency declaration. The licensee's corrective actions for this event included repairing cables damaged in the fire, replacement of the expansion joint; and revision to the steam seal operating procedures. The licensee documented this issue in the corrective action program (CAP) as Issue Report (IR) 1642409.

The finding was determined to be more than minor per IMC 0612, Appendix B, "Issue Screening," because it was a precursor to a significant event. Specifically, failure to install Instrument bus and ESS cables in accordance with the requirements of

N-EM-0035 resulted in the initiation of an electrical fault and cable fire. The fire resulted in a manual reactor scram and the loss of safety-related equipment. The performance deficiency was associated with the Reactor Safety - Initiating Events Cornerstone attribute of Design Control, and affected the cornerstone objective of ensuring the capability of equipment relying on the power supply from Instrument and ESS Buses, both during shutdown as well as power operations. A detailed risk evaluation was performed by the regional senior reactor analysts (SRAs), and the finding was determined to be of very low safety significance. The finding does not have a cross-cutting aspect, because it is associated with a performance deficiency from the timeframe of the plant's original construction and is not representative of the licensee's current performance.

Inspection Report# : [2014003](#) (*pdf*)

**Significance:** G Jun 30, 2014

Identified By: Self-Revealing

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

#### **FAILURE TO OPERATE THE GLAND SEAL SYSTEM AS DESIGNED**

A finding of very low safety significance (Green) and associated non-cited violation of Technical Specification (TS) Section 5.4.1 was self-revealed on April 2, 2014 for the licensee's failure to establish a procedure in accordance with the requirements of Regulatory Guide 1.33. Specifically, the licensee established procedure QOP 5600-01, "Gland Seal System Operation," for use during startup of the Main Steam and Turbine-Generator systems. However, the procedure failed to include provisions to ensure that the steam seal regulator bypass valve, 2-3099-S2 (S2) was closed prior to lifting the steam seal bypass relief valve and exceeding the bypass line design pressure. That resulted in a failure of the piping and a significant steam leak in the 'D' heater bay. Immediate corrective actions taken by the

licensee included revising their procedures for operation of the Gland Seal system and conducting just-in-time training on Gland Seal system operation for operators prior to the subsequent startup on Unit 2. In addition, the licensee planned to review and revise their operator training program for the Gland Seal system. The licensee documented this issue in CAP as IR 1642409.

The performance deficiency was determined to be more than minor and a finding because it was a precursor to a significant event. Specifically, the Gland Seal System steam seal regulator bypass valve was opened at pressures that the bypass line was not designed to withstand. This led to a significant steam leak in the 'D' heater bay, and the resulting fire caused by a degraded cable fault. The inspectors concluded this finding was associated with the Initiating Events Cornerstone and a Detailed Risk Evaluation was required. The finding was determined to be of very low risk significance by the SRAs. The inspectors determined that a principal contributor to the finding was that the licensee did not stop when faced with uncertain conditions and risks were not evaluated and managed before proceeding. Specifically, when the licensee identified a steam packing leak in the S1 valve in June 2013 and decided to close the valve when leakage increased to an unacceptable level in October 2013, they failed to recognize the risk and prioritize the repair of the valve prior to the reactor startup on April 2, 2014. In addition, when operators faced unexpected system response during the startup of the Gland Seal system and conflicting procedural guidance, the cause of the problem was not thoroughly understood and evaluated prior to continuing the system startup. As a result, the inspectors assigned a cross-cutting aspect of challenging the unknown in the area of human performance (H.11).

Inspection Report# : [2014003](#) (*pdf*)

**Significance:**  Mar 31, 2014

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: FIN Finding

**STEAM DRYER/STEAM SEPARATOR LIFTING DEVICE FAILURE TO MEET AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARDS INSTITUTE (ANSI) N14.6**

The inspectors identified a finding of very low safety significance (Green) involving the licensee's failure to demonstrate compliance with American National Standards Institute (ANSI) N14.6-1978, Section 3.2.1.1.

Specifically, the licensee did not establish the design stress factors based on the fracture toughness characteristics of the socket pins, lock pins, and hook pins for the steam dryer/steam separator lifting device. This issue was entered into the licensee's corrective action program (CAP) as Action Request (AR) 1517114, "Dryer/Separator Strongback Calculation Discrepancies," dated May 23, 2013, and AR 1578475, "Dryer/Separator Strongback Pin Inspection Criteria," dated October 30, 2013.

The inspectors determined the finding to be more than minor because the finding was associated with the Initiating Events Cornerstone objective to limit the likelihood of events that upset plant stability and challenge critical safety functions during shutdown. Specifically, compliance with ANSI N14.6-1978, Section 3.2.1.1 is to ensure safe load handling of heavy loads over the reactor core, spent fuel, and/or safety-related systems through establishing the design based on the fracture toughness characteristics of the material. The inspectors determined the finding could be evaluated using the Significance Determination Process in accordance with IMC 0609, "Significance Determination Process," Attachment 0609.04, "Phase I -- Initial Screening and Characterization of Findings," Table 3. Since the finding was associated with shutdown conditions, the inspectors used IMC 0609, Appendix G, "Shutdown Operations Significance Determination Process." The inspectors determined that none of the conditions constituting a loss of control were met as described in Appendix G, Attachment 1, "Phase I Operational Checklists for Both PWRs and BWRs," for this finding and no Phase II or Phase III analysis was required. Specifically, the licensee provided information to inspectors that prior nondestructive examinations and inspections of the lifting device found no prior material defects. In addition, the licensee had not experienced any load drop events since placing the steam dryer/steam separator lifting device into service. The lifting device was also load tested successfully in accordance with the applicable requirements of ANSI N14.6. Therefore, the inspectors determined that this finding was of very low safety significance (Green). The inspectors did not identify a cross-cutting aspect associated with this finding because the concern was related to a design calculation from 2005, and thus was not necessarily indicative of current licensee performance.

No violation of regulatory requirements is associated with this finding based on the steam dryer/steam separator lifting device being a non-safety-related structural component.

Inspection Report# : [2014002](#) (pdf)

---

## Mitigating Systems

**Significance:**  Jun 30, 2014

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

### **SEISMIC SCAFFOLD IN CONTACT WITH SAFETY-RELATED EQUIPMENT**

A finding of very low safety significance and associated non-cited violation of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, "Instructions, Procedures, and Drawings," was identified by the inspectors for the licensee's failure to meet the requirements of procedure MA-AA-796-024, "Scaffold Installation, Inspection, and Removal," when scaffold Q0178 was built with one of its supports in rigid contact with the operable Unit 2 torus. Immediate corrective actions included modifying the scaffold such that it was no longer in contact with the Unit 2 torus. This issue was captured in the licensee's CAP as IR 1639356.

The finding was determined to be more than minor because the finding was associated with the Mitigating Systems Cornerstone attribute of protection against external factors and affected the cornerstone objective of ensuring the availability, reliability, and capability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. Specifically, a scaffold built in contact with safety related equipment could damage the equipment and affect its availability and reliability. The inspectors determined the finding could be evaluated using the SDP in accordance with IMC 0609, "Significance Determination Process," Appendix A, "The Significance Determination Process (SDP) for Findings At-Power." The inspectors answered, "No," to all of the Exhibit 2, "Mitigating Systems Screening Questions," in section A and determined the finding was of very low safety significance. This finding has a cross-cutting aspect of documentation in the area of human performance because the licensee did not create and maintain complete, accurate and, up-to-date documentation. Specifically, the licensee did not completely and accurately evaluate the acceptability of a scaffold that was in contact with safety related equipment (H.7).

Inspection Report# : [2014003](#) (pdf)

**Significance:**  Sep 30, 2013

Identified By: Self-Revealing

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

### **WRONG PARTS INSTALLED FOR CRD HCU**

A finding of very low safety significance (Green) and associated non-cited violation (NCV) of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, "Instructions, Procedures and Drawings," was self-revealed through repetitive low pressure alarms on a recently overhauled control rod drive (CRD) hydraulic control unit (HCU) accumulator. Specifically, the work instructions for overhaul of the HCU for CRD 2-0305-34-59 were not appropriate to the circumstances in that the wrong part number for the bottom O-ring was listed and as a result, the wrong sized O-ring was installed in the safety related application. The wrong O-ring allowed nitrogen pressure to leak out of the HCU accumulator after the HCU was returned to service. After the part discrepancy was identified, the licensee stopped all work on the HCU until the parts list was corrected and the procedure was updated to add the catalogue identification number for each part to the applicable steps. The HCU overhaul was completed and retested satisfactorily. An extent of condition review was performed to identify and evaluate other potential instances where the parts list may have been used. The inspectors determined that the development and implementation of an informal parts list was a significant contributor to the

performance deficiency and identified that this issue had a cross-cutting aspect in the area of Human Performance – Work Control in that the licensee did not plan the activity with sufficient rigor to support long-term equipment reliability without reliance on manual actions (H.3(b)).

This performance deficiency was determined to be more than minor because it adversely affected the Mitigating Systems Cornerstone objective to ensure availability, reliability, and capability of mitigating systems for the Equipment Performance attribute because frequent manual operator actions were required to be taken to maintain reliability of the affected accumulator. The inspectors determined the finding could be evaluated using the Significance Determination Process in accordance with IMC 0609, Appendix A, “The Significance Determination Process (SDP) For Findings At-Power.” The inspectors answered “No” to all questions of Exhibit 2, “Mitigating Systems Screening Questions,” Section C – “Reactivity Control Systems,” and therefore, the finding screened as Green or very low safety significance.

Inspection Report# : [2013004](#) (*pdf*)

---

## **Barrier Integrity**

---

## **Emergency Preparedness**

---

## **Occupational Radiation Safety**

---

## **Public Radiation Safety**

---

## **Security**

Although the Security Cornerstone is included in the Reactor Oversight Process assessment program, the Commission has decided that specific information related to findings and performance indicators pertaining to the Security Cornerstone will not be publicly available to ensure that security information is not provided to a possible adversary. Other than the fact that a finding or performance indicator is Green or Greater-Than-Green, security related information will not be displayed on the public web page. Therefore, the [cover letters](#) to security inspection reports may be viewed.

---

## **Miscellaneous**

Last modified : August 29, 2014