

Vogtle 1

4Q/2005 Plant Inspection Findings

Initiating Events

Significance:  Mar 31, 2005

Identified By: Self-Revealing

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Failure to Properly Sequence Plant Activities Causes Unanticipated Reactor Coolant System Drain Path

A self-revealing non-cited violation (NCV) was identified for failure to properly sequence plant activities which resulted in an unanticipated Unit 1 reactor coolant system (RCS) drain path.

This finding is of greater than minor significance because it affected the configuration control attribute of the initiating events cornerstone and affected the cornerstone objective in that it created an unanticipated RCS drain path. The finding is of very low safety significance (Green) because it did not contribute to the likelihood that any mitigation equipment or functions would not be available. The residual heat removal system remained operable during the transient and a large volume of makeup water was available. This finding also involved the cross-cutting aspect of human performance, in that, the improper sequencing of activities created an unanticipated drain path.

Inspection Report# : [2005002\(pdf\)](#)

Mitigating Systems

Barrier Integrity

Emergency Preparedness

Significance:  Sep 30, 2005

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Failure to Provide Adequate Respiratory Protection Equipment for Emergency Response

An NRC-identified non-cited violation of 10 CFR 50.47(b)(10) was identified for the failure to provide adequate respiratory protection equipment for emergency response, compromising the protective actions developed for the plume exposure pathway for emergency workers.

This finding is greater than minor because it is associated with the Emergency Preparedness cornerstone attribute of Response Organization Performance and adversely affects the cornerstone objective of ensuring the licensee is capable of implementing adequate measures to protect the health and safety of the public in the event of a radiological emergency. Failure to provide appropriate respiratory protective equipment for a required worker could result in the individual being unable to perform his emergency response function. The finding was evaluated using the Emergency Preparedness Significance Determination Process, Sheet 1. The finding was a failure to comply that was a planning standard problem, was not a risk-significant planning standard problem, and did not involve a planning standard function failure. For these reasons, the inspectors concluded that the issue is of very low safety significance.

Inspection Report# : [2005004\(pdf\)](#)

Occupational Radiation Safety

Public Radiation Safety

Physical Protection

[Physical Protection](#) information not publicly available.

Miscellaneous

Last modified : March 03, 2006