

Peach Bottom 2

Initiating Events

G**Significance:** Dec 29, 2001

Identified By: Licensee

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

INADEQUATE GENERATOR ISO-PHASE BUS MAINTENANCE PROCEDURE RESULTS IN A UNIT 2 AUTOMATIC SHUTDOWN

Technical Specification 5.4.1 requires written procedures be established, implemented, and maintained covering activities listed in Regulatory Guide 1.33. Regulatory Guide 1.33 includes maintenance procedures for performing preventive maintenance and inspections of plant equipment. Contrary to the above, prior to the Unit 2 automatic reactor shutdown on October 23, 2001, adequate written instructions were not established in maintenance procedure, M-C-700-227, Rev 0, "Inspection of Generator Iso-phase Bus" for inspecting, bolting and torquing of the isophase bus duct dampers in the main generator. As a result of these inadequate instructions, three threaded holes were stripped due to excessive torque, which caused the screws holding the rod side of the damper to the connection box to fall out. This allowed the damper frame to pivot on the last remaining bolt and contact the 'A' phase bushing causing a ground fault, generator lockout, and reactor scram. The corrective actions for this violation were already in the licensee's corrective action program (Condition Report (CR)# 00079965). This is being treated as a Non-Cited Violation.

Inspection Report# : [2001010\(pdf\)](#)

Mitigating Systems

G**Significance:** Dec 29, 2001

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

E-2 EMERGENCY DIESEL GENERATOR RENDERED INOPERABLE BY A MISPOSITIONED JACKET COOLANT EXPANSION TANK BLOCK VALVE

The inspectors identified a Non-Cited violation of very low safety significance (Green) of Technical Specification 5.4.1, because the gravity feed block valve in the line from the diesel generator coolant expansion tank was closed, contrary to system operating procedures. The closed block valve caused the E-2 emergency diesel generator (EDG) to be inoperable. The EDG was inoperable for an unknown period of time between October 12 and October 30, 2001. This issue was determined to be of very low safety significance based on a phase 2 risk evaluation in accordance with our significance determination process. The other three EDGs and both offsite power sources remained operable during this time period. This finding also affects the Barrier Integrity cornerstone.

Inspection Report# : [2001010\(pdf\)](#)G**Significance:** Aug 18, 2001

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

UNITS 2 AND 3 HIGH PRESSURE COOLANT INJECTION SUCTION FROM THE TORUS CHECK VALVES (CHK-2-23B-61 AND CHK-3-23B-61) NOT TESTED PER ASME OM CODE INSERVICE TESTING (IST) REQUIREMENTS.

A Non-cited violation of 10 CFR 50.55a(f)(4)(ii) and Technical Specification 5.5.6, "Inservice Testing Program" was identified for failure to test the Unit 2 and Unit 3 high pressure coolant injection (HPCI) torus suction check valves for seat leakage in the reverse flow direction. Excessive leakage of these check valves could render the HPCI system inoperable during certain small-break loss of coolant accident scenarios. This issue was determined to be of very low safety significance since the respective high pressure coolant injection system remained operable and no actual loss of function occurred.

Inspection Report# : [2001006\(pdf\)](#)G**Significance:** Aug 18, 2001

Identified By: Licensee

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

EMERGENCY DIESEL GENERATORS BEING IN A CONDITION OUTSIDE THE DESIGN BASIS FOR OPERATION.

Peach Bottom Technical Specifications (TS) Section 3.8.1 requires all EDGs to be capable of supplying onsite Class 1E electrical power, and TS Section 3.8.1.F requires all but one EDG to be restored to operable status within two hours if two or more EDGs are inoperable. During the summer of 1999, three of the four EDGs were inoperable due to cross-flows between the jacket water coolers and the intake air coolers for a maximum of approximately 25 continuous hours. The corrective actions for this violation were already in the licensee's corrective action program (PEP report I0011529). This is being treated as a Non-Cited Violation.

Inspection Report# : [2001006\(pdf\)](#)

G

Significance: Aug 18, 2001

Identified By: Licensee

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

PROCEDURAL INADEQUACIES WITH SO 53.7.D, "RESPONSE TO A LOSS OF #343 OFF-SITE STARTUP SOURCE" IDENTIFIED DURING THE LOSS OF ONE OFFSITE POWER SOURCE.

Technical Specification 5.4.1 requires written procedures be established, implemented, and maintained covering activities listed in Regulatory Guide 1.33. Regulatory Guide 1.33 includes abnormal conditions such as loss of electrical power sources. In June 2001, the procedure, SO 53.7.D, "Response to a Loss of #343 Off-Site Startup Source," Revision 24 did not direct proper alignment of emergency bus breaker switches as required to maintain automatic emergency diesel generator power to all emergency buses. Therefore, equipment powered by these buses would not fulfill their safety function to mitigate the consequences of an accident. The corrective actions for this violation were already in the licensee's corrective action program (Condition Report (CR)# 00061124). This is being treated as a Non-Cited Violation.

Inspection Report# : [2001006\(pdf\)](#)

G

Significance: Nov 18, 2000

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: FIN Finding

EMERGENCY DIESEL GENERATORS IN A DEGRADED CONDITION POTENTIALLY OUTSIDE OF THE DESIGN BASIS AND EMERGENCY SERVICE WATER SYSTEM CHECK VALVE FAILURE

Emergency service water (ESW) system check valve 2-33-514 failed open, allowing safety-related ESW flow to be partially diverted from emergency diesel generators (EDGs) and emergency core cooling system room coolers. The inspectors and the licensee identified that this risk important component had not been included in a preventive maintenance program. This issue caused the ESW system and the EDGs to be degraded for a period of up to two years. This finding was of very low safety significance because, although the ESW flow rate to the EDGs was below the design basis minimum value, engineering personnel determined that the EDGs would have remained available during accident conditions.

Inspection Report# : [2000012\(pdf\)](#)

G

Significance: Nov 18, 2000

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: FIN Finding

MULTIPLE ISOLATIONS OF SHUTDOWN COOLING

Unit 2 operators experienced four unplanned, unexpected isolations of shutdown cooling during refueling outage 2R13. During one time period in which there were three repetitive isolations, the reactor coolant system (RCS) temperature rose from 153 degrees to 171 degrees. The inspectors identified a corrective action performance issue, in that previous isolations of shutdown cooling had not been fully investigated and resolved. This finding was of very low safety significance because the increase in RCS temperature did not constitute a loss of control and did not require phase 2 analysis per the guidance in NRC Manual Chapter 0609, Appendix G. In all instances, operators were able to restore the shutdown cooling system promptly.

Inspection Report# : [2000012\(pdf\)](#)**Significance:** N/A Jun 08, 2000

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: AV Apparent Violation

POST-FIRE SAFE SHUTDOWN CIRCUIT ANALYSES

PECO adopted a licensing position that mechanical damage to alternative shutdown equipment resulting from fire-induced cable faults, as described in Information Notice 92-18, was outside the scope of the licensing and design bases of the facility. As a result, PECO did not evaluate the control circuits of the alternative shutdown equipment to determine if it was susceptible to this problem. Since a detailed review of the alternative shutdown capability at PBAPS was not performed as part of the scope of this inspection, the risk associated with this issue was not established. This issue is being treated as an apparent violation of Condition 2.C.4 of the operating licenses for both Unit 2 and Unit 3, which requires PECO to implement and maintain the fire protection program described in the NRC Safety Evaluation Reports. PECO has entered this issue into their corrective action program and has implemented reasonable compensatory measures pending final resolution of the issue. However, the issue of mechanical damage to safe shutdown equipment due to fire-induced cable faults is in contention between the NRC and the nuclear industry. As such, any further enforcement action will be deferred pending final resolution of this issue by the Nuclear Energy Institute and the NRC staff, in accordance with Enforcement Guidance Memorandum 98-02, Revision 2, issued February 2, 2000.

Inspection Report# : [2000003\(pdf\)](#)

G

Significance: Jun 08, 2000

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: AV Apparent Violation

POST-FIRE SAFE SHUTDOWN CIRCUIT ANALYSES

PECO's specification for performing circuit analyses of post-fire safe shutdown equipment stipulates that only one spurious actuation for each system affected by any one fire be analyzed. For the areas inspected, the team determined that PECO adequately protected against fire-induced spurious actuations. The team did not identify any additional spurious actuations which would have prevented achieving safe shutdown conditions in the post-fire operating environment. The assumption that only a single spurious actuation need be considered for any one system for any one fire is an apparent violation of the requirements of Section III.G. and III.L. of Appendix R to 10 CFR 50. PECO entered this issue into their corrective action program and have implemented reasonable compensatory measures. However, the issue of multiple spurious actuations of equipment in a post-fire environment is in contention between the NRC and the nuclear industry. As such, any further enforcement action will be deferred pending

final resolution of this issue by the Nuclear Energy Institute and the NRC staff, in accordance with Enforcement Guidance Memorandum 98-02, Revision 2, issued February 2, 2000.
 Inspection Report# : [2000003\(pdf\)](#)

Barrier Integrity



Significance: Sep 30, 2001

Identified By: Licensee

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

FAILURE TO OBTAIN PRIMARY CONTAINMENT ATMOSPHERE GRAB SAMPLES AS REQUIRED BY TS 3.4.5 WHEN THE PRIMARY CONTAINMENT ATMOSPHERIC MONITORING SYSTEM WAS INOPERABLE.

Technical Specification (TS) Section 3.4.5 requires grab samples of the primary containment atmosphere to be analyzed once per 12 hours when the primary containment atmospheric monitoring system is inoperable. On September 15, 2001, the Unit 2 reactor operator noted that chemistry technicians had not taken the 12 hour grab samples as required by TS 3.4.5.B because the sample point was isolated. On September 15, 2001, the licensee replaced the failed relay that caused the system to become inoperable for approximately 30 hours, verified that the primary containment atmosphere was acceptable, and restored the system to an operable status. The corrective actions for this violation were already in the licensee's corrective action program (Condition Report (CR) #00075295). This is being treated as a Non-cited violation.

Inspection Report# : [2001008\(pdf\)](#)



Significance: Jun 30, 2001

Identified By: Licensee

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Failure to Use Applicable Design Criteria from Calculations in Acceptance Limits for 'A' Standby Gas Treatment Heater Capacity Testing - Common

10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XI, "Test Control," requires, in part, that written test procedures used to demonstrate that structures, systems, and components perform satisfactorily incorporate acceptance limits contained in applicable design documents. During surveillance testing of the 'A' Standby Gas Treatment (SBGT) system per ST-O-09A-230-2 on May 29, 2001, the test procedure did not contain applicable acceptance criteria. Specifically, heater performance testing for the 'A' SBGT system was evaluated using acceptance criteria based on a heater capacity calculation that assumed a balanced three phase circuit. This was not the configuration during this test since one element of the heater circuit was bypassed. This issue is documented in Exelon's corrective action program as PEP 10012657.

Inspection Report# : [2001005\(pdf\)](#)



Significance: Nov 18, 2000

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

TORUS/DRYWELL VACUUM BREAKER FAILURE

Unit 2 'H' torus to drywell vacuum breaker failed open during stroke testing. Operators shut down the unit as required by technical specifications. The inspectors identified a Non-Cited violation for an inadequate preventive maintenance procedure. This finding was of very low safety significance because, although the primary containment was rendered inoperable, the vacuum breaker was only partially open for a duration of approximately 19 hours.

Inspection Report# : [2000012\(pdf\)](#)

Emergency Preparedness

Significance: SL-III Nov 17, 2001

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: VIO Violation

INOPERABLE OFF-SITE SIRENS NOT IDENTIFIED DUE TO FALSIFIED MAINTENANCE AND TESTING RECORDS AND INSTALLATION OF JUMPERS THAT BYPASSED SIREN FAILURE DETECTION CIRCUITRY

A Severity Level III - Notice of Violation, (EA-01-188) was issued in an NRC letter dated October 23, 2001. During an investigation initiated by the NRC Office of Investigations on September 27, 2000, violations of NRC requirements were identified. A. A violation of 10 CFR 50.9 was identified due to records of routine maintenance and testing performed on sirens in various locations surrounding Limerick Generating Station (LGS) and Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station (PBAPS) not being complete and accurate in all material respects. Specifically, from April/May 2000 until October 2000, two former Exelon Infrastructure Services (EIS) contractors falsified numerous "Siren Report - Routine Maintenance Checklist" records indicating that they had completed all of the routine maintenance steps, when, in fact, they had not. B. A violation of 10 CFR 50.47(b)(5) was identified when for an undetermined period of time prior to October 2000, 10 of 97 sirens surrounding PBAPS and 4 of 165 sirens surrounding LGS contained jumpers that bypassed failure detection circuitry. As a result, a false indication was provided that the sirens were working properly when, in fact, they were not. One of the former EIS contractors admitted to installing approximately ten jumper wires in LGS and PBAPS siren boxes between November 1999 and September 2000 to specifically bypass the failure detection circuitry. Installation of the jumpers compromised the ability to detect, for certain sirens, any malfunction of this system which is used to provide early notification to the populace surrounding PBAPS

and LGS. The siren system was compromised in that the jumpers prevented detection of certain inoperable sirens, which would have delayed, or possibly precluded you from taking compensatory actions to alert certain areas of the local populace in the event of an emergency. The safety significance of the violations was low because over 95% siren coverage of the population in the vicinity of LGS and PBAPS was maintained. .

Inspection Report# : [2001009\(pdf\)](#)

Significance: N/A Aug 02, 2001

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: FIN Finding

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION (95001) IN RESPONSE TO WHITE PERFORMANCE INDICATOR FOR THE ALERT NOTIFICATION SYSTEM THAT OCCURRED IN THE THIRD QUARTER OF CY 2000

This supplemental inspection (95001) was performed by the NRC to assess the licensee's evaluation associated with a White Performance Indicator (PI) for the Alert Notification System (ANS) Reliability. In the third quarter of 2000, the Peach Bottom ANS Reliability PI was White (Green in the prior quarter). The inspector determined that the licensee had performed a thorough evaluation in response to the PI's change in color. The licensee identified that the change in the PI was due to installed jumper wires which bypassed failure detection circuitry. The licensee identified this issue, determined the cause and developed comprehensive corrective actions to address the causes and prevent recurrence. The licensee's root cause evaluation identified the contributing factors to be: (1) a lack of licensee oversight of contractor and utility personnel; (2) failure to enforce contractual requirements; (3) an over reliance on the failure detection system; and (4) inadequate self- assessment. The licensee's corrective actions to prevent recurrence included: (1) training for contractors regarding specification content and methodologies; (2) modification of contract content requirements to specify supervisory oversight; (3) development of guidelines for monitoring contracts concerning work performed independent of direct utility supervision; and (4) development of a siren program manual that will include self- assessment criteria and activities. The inspector determined that the licensee's corrective actions were appropriate and that the ANS Reliability PI had changed from White to Green for the first quarter of 2001.

Inspection Report# : [2001012\(pdf\)](#)



Significance: G Aug 02, 2001

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

INADEQUATE RESOLUTION 10 CFR 50.54(t) AUDIT FINDING RELATED TO THE INTERFACE BETWEEN THE LICENSEE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT/AGENCIES.

The inspector determined that the 2000 EP quality assurance audit failed to evaluate and document the EP staffs' interface problems with State and local governments in accordance with 10 CFR 50.54(t) requirements even though deficiencies were identified. The finding was considered more than minor because there was a potential impact on public safety in that the offsite agencies are an integral part of the response to a radiological emergency. However, the inspector determined the licensee failed to implement a regulatory requirement which is not considered a failure to meet a planning standard as defined in Appendix B, Manual Chapter 0609. Also, there was no evidence of an actual interface problem affecting response capabilities. Therefore, this finding was determined to be of very low safety significance (Green). The inspector identified this as a non-cited violation for failing to properly document and assess offsite agency concerns as required by 10 CFR 50.54(t).

Inspection Report# : [2001012\(pdf\)](#)



Significance: G Aug 02, 2001

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

ANNUAL MEDIA TRAINING NOT CONDUCTED

The inspector identified that the licensee had not conducted the annual media training for the year 2000 as required per Section 6.1.4 of the licensee's Emergency Response Plan (ERP). This finding was more than minor because there was a potential impact on public safety in that the information to the general public via the media needs to be disseminated accurately to avoid confusion. However, it was of very low safety significance because, during this time period, the issue was limited in scope, the licensee had conducted the 2000 training in March of 2001, and the issue is viewed as an implementation problem. The inspector identified this as a non-cited violation for the licensee failing to conduct training according to the ERP and as required per 10 CFR 50.54(q) and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E.IV.F.1.

Inspection Report# : [2001012\(pdf\)](#)



Significance: G Aug 02, 2001

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

ANNUAL RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING TRAINING NOT CONDUCTED

The inspector identified that the licensee had not conducted the annual radiological monitoring drill for the year 2000 which would include the actual collection and analyses of environmental samples as described in the Emergency Response Plan (ERP) Section 6.2.7. This finding was more than minor because there was a potential impact on public safety in that the licensee conducts drills or training in order to maintain proficiency in case an actual radiological emergency occurs. However, it was of very low safety significance because there was no evidence of a loss of proficiency for the group of responders and the issue is viewed as an implementation problem. The inspector identified this as a non-cited violation for the licensee not conducting drills according to the ERP and as required per 10 CFR 50.54(q) and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E. IV.F.1.

Inspection Report# : [2001012\(pdf\)](#)



Significance: W Jul 10, 2001

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: VIO Violation

FAILURE TO MAINTAIN PA/EVACUATION ALARM SYSTEM FOR PERFORMING THE DESIGNATED EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS EVACUATION FUNCTION.

By letter on August 22, 2001, the NRC issued the final significance determination and violation. The inspectors identified a violation of an emergency planning standard and requirement in that the power block's public address (PA)/evacuation alarm system was degraded from 1992 to 2000 and, once repaired, it continued to be degraded with breaker problems. Accordingly, the system would not have been able to meet its emergency planning function. Requirements 10 CFR 50.54(q), emergency planning standard 10 CFR 50.47b(8), and Appendix E Section IV.E.9 require that onsite emergency communication systems be maintained and have a backup power source. During the period of 1992-2000, the PA/evacuation alarm system was not functioning correctly, in that up to 47% of the speakers (as of April 1999) were either inaudible or degraded to the point in which an individual would not be able to clearly hear instructions. From January 19 to February 13 and March 20 to April 17, 2001, the system would have become nonfunctional after 49 seconds of operation because the system had been operating on the backup power breaker and the breaker would have tripped. On February 13 and April 17, 2001, the PA/evacuation alarm system was nonfunctional for the periods of 4.5 hours and 1.5 hours, respectively, because both the primary and backup breakers had tripped resulting in a loss of power to the system. This issue was assessed using the emergency preparedness Significant Determination Process (SDP) described in NRC Inspection Manual Chapter 0609, Appendix B, and characterized as a White finding. The finding was of low to moderate safety significance because the failure to maintain the emergency onsite communication system without adequate compensatory measures or without a backup power source resulted in an emergency preparedness function not being met. The function would not have been met, in that the licensee would not properly inform and alert onsite personnel of protective actions and would unnecessarily delay a site evacuation. (EA-01-148) A supplemental inspection (95001) was performed by the NRC and documented in NRC Inspection report 05000277/2001-014 to assess the licensee's evaluation and corrective actions associated with the violation. The inspector determined that the licensee had performed a thorough evaluation, have taken immediate corrective actions, and continue to address the long term corrective actions in response to this White finding. The licensee determined the cause and developed comprehensive corrective actions to address the causes and prevent recurrence. The licensee's root cause evaluation identified the contributing factors to be: (1) routine testing of the system was suspended in 1992; (2) no recognition of the importance of the audibility of the speakers in carrying out the requirements of the Emergency Plan (E-Plan); (3) less than adequate modification performed in the early 1990's due to not considering the operating loads required for the plant evacuation notifications; (4) less than adequate assessment of the impact to the emergency planning requirements when the breakers were discovered to be tripped.

Inspection Report# : [2001011\(pdf\)](#)

Inspection Report# : [2001014\(pdf\)](#)

Occupational Radiation Safety

Significance: Sep 30, 2000

Identified By: Licensee

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

ACCESS CONTROL TO RADIOLOGICALLY SIGNIFICANT AREAS

On September 16, 2000, three workers did not follow oral and written radiation protection instructions, as required by radiation protection procedures and Technical Specifications, to avoid areas of elevated radiation dose rates in the Unit 2 drywell. Specifically, contrary to the instructions given to them, three workers either worked in proximity of, passed through, or transported radiation shielding materials through elevated radiation fields (up to 13.9 R/hr) in the drywell. As a result, one of the workers exceeded the dose limit specified in the radiation work permit. Further, one of the workers did not contact radiation protection personnel upon alarm of their dosimeter, also as specified in written and oral radiation protection instructions. This PECO-identified issue was determined to be a Non-cited Violation of Technical Specification 5.4.1. This issue was considered to be of very low safety significance because it did not result in an over exposure, did not create a substantial potential for such an exposure, and did not compromise the ability of PECO to assess dose to its workers. This issue was placed in PECO's corrective action system.

Inspection Report# : [2000010\(pdf\)](#)



Significance: Sep 30, 2000

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

DID NOT POST AND BARRICADE A HIGH RADIATION AREA IN UNIT 2 DRYWELL

On September 16, 2000, PECO did not post and barricade a High Radiation Area in the Unit 2 drywell. The failure to properly post and barricade the area contributed to three workers entering the area and one of the workers exceeding the dose limit specified in the radiation work permit. This NRC identified issue was determined to be a Non-cited Violation of Technical Specification 5.7.2.f. This issue was considered to be of very low safety significance because it did not result in an over exposure, did not create a substantial potential for such an exposure, and did not compromise the ability of PECO to assess dose to its workers. This issue was placed in PECO's corrective action system.

Inspection Report# : [2000010\(pdf\)](#)

Public Radiation Safety

Significance: May 20, 2000

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

SHIPMENT RECORDS AND DOCUMENTATION

The NRC identified two examples of failure to ensure proper closure of radioactive material shipping packages. The first example involved an April 25, 2000, shipment during which an incorrect procedure was used to secure the primary lid on a shipping cask being prepared for shipment. The procedure provided incorrect guidance for calculation of torque wrench settings used for closure of the cask. The second example involved a December 8, 1999, shipment during which PECO Nuclear did not ensure accuracy of leak testing equipment used to prepare a shipping cask. The two examples involved matters that had very low risk significance because no radiation limits were exceeded and there was no actual public health and safety consequences. The inspectors identified a non-cited violation of 10 CFR 71.5.
Inspection Report# : [2000002\(pdf\)](#)

Physical Protection



Significance: Mar 31, 2001
Identified By: NRC
Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation
Access Control

The inspectors identified a non-cited violation of 10 CFR 73.55 (b)(3) because on at least two occasions required personnel were not notified within the specified time to remove terminated individuals from the access authorization list. The finding was of very low safety significance because there was no malevolent act, no actual intrusion occurred, and there have not been greater than two similar findings in the past four quarters.
Inspection Report# : [2001003\(pdf\)](#)

Miscellaneous

Significance: N/A Nov 09, 2001
Identified By: NRC
Item Type: FIN Finding

SUMMARY CONCLUSION REGARDING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION AND RESOLUTION (PI&R) PROGRAM FROM THE PI&R INSPECTION

The team concluded that, based on the review of a selected sample, the overall implementation of the corrective action program at Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 & 3, was acceptable. In general, problems were identified at an appropriate level and entered into the corrective action program. Issues were adequately prioritized and evaluated, and the evaluations were of adequate depth to identify the causes and appropriately broad in considering the extent of condition. The corrective actions were reasonable and adequately implemented. Nevertheless, the team identified instances where the licensee missed opportunities to identify and enter problems into the condition report process. In one instance, the issue resulted in a Green finding that was also a non-cited violation.
Inspection Report# : [2001007\(pdf\)](#)

Significance: N/A Dec 22, 2000
Identified By: NRC
Item Type: FIN Finding

IDENTIFICATION AND RESOLUTION OF PROBLEMS

PECO was effective at identifying problems and entering them into their problem identification and resolution (PI&R) programs. Workers were not reluctant to input safety issues into the station's PI&R programs. Few deficiencies were identified by external organizations, including the NRC. PECO identified problems in a timely manner, commensurate with their significance and ease of discovery. No instances were identified in which conditions adverse to quality were being handled outside the corrective action program. PECO identified and implemented acceptable corrective actions for individual problems or issues. The corrective actions considered the significance of the issue or problem, extent of condition, generic implications, common cause, and previous occurrences. PECO identified root and contributing causes for significant conditions adverse to quality and adequately completed or scheduled completion of corrective actions.
Inspection Report# : [2000013\(pdf\)](#)

Significance: N/A Dec 22, 2000
Identified By: NRC
Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

INCORRECT LICENSE APPLICATION SUBMITTED TONRC

NO COLOR - The team identified a non-cited Severity Level IV violation of 10 CFR 55.31(a)(4) because an operator license application was submitted to the NRC in August 1999 with incorrect information. The application was incorrect because it indicated that the individual completed all required training even though the emergency preparedness portion of his required training was not completed until May 2000 (approximately eight months after the individual had been licensed). When evaluating this issue according to NRC Manual Chapter 0610*, Appendix B, it did involve extenuating circumstances in that the issue potentially impacted the NRC's ability to perform its regulatory function. The team's evaluation of the apparent cause indicated a problem between the emergency preparedness and operator training organizations, and limited to one individual. The issue was documented in PECO's corrective action program as Performance Enhancement Program Issue I0012084.
Inspection Report# : [2000013\(pdf\)](#)

Significance: N/A Dec 22, 2000
Identified By: Licensee
Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

INACTIVE LICENSED OPERATOR PERFORMED THE FUNCTIONS OF A LICENSED OPERATOR

10CFR55.53 requires performance of operator duties during five 12-hour shifts in a prior calendar quarter to maintain an active license in the current quarter. An operator performed the functions of a licensed operator during four-12 hour shifts in the third quarter of 2000 and thus became inactive. The individual performed the functions of a reactor operator for fifteen 12-hour shifts in the fourth quarter of 2000 without having completed the required actions to restore the individual's license to an active status. This item is PEP I0012046 in PECO's corrective action program.

Inspection Report# : [2000013\(pdf\)](#)

Significance: N/A Nov 18, 2000

Identified By: Licensee

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

CONDITION PROHIBITED BY TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS BY ENTERING MODE 2 WITHOUT PERFORMING A REQUIRED SURVEILLANCE TEST - UNIT 2

One violation of very low significance, which was identified by the licensee, has been reviewed by the inspectors. Corrective actions taken or planned by the licensee appear reasonable.

Inspection Report# : [2000012\(pdf\)](#)

Significance: SL-IV Sep 30, 2000

Identified By: Licensee

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

"B" DRYWELL WIDE RANGE PRESSURE INSTRUMENT INOPERABLE FOR GREATER THAN TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION ALLOWABLE OUTAGE TIME - UNIT 2

As identified in LER 2-00-001, the 2B Drywell Wide Range Pressure recorder was inoperable for greater than 30 days. The NRC determined this to be a Non-cited violation of Technical Specification 3.3.3.1.

Inspection Report# : [2000010\(pdf\)](#)

Significance: TBD Mar 10, 2000

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: FIN Finding

HEALTH PHYSICS SUPPORT

The licensee's plans and preparations for controlling radiological activities for the ISFSI were extensive and detailed. A specific radiation work permit included appropriate radiological controls and a review was conducted to maintain dose as low as is reasonably achievable (ALARA). A health physics supervisor and several technicians were dedicated to the ISFSI project. They were actively involved with the dry-run activities, provided pre-job briefings, and projected radiation conditions to the ISFSI work staff.

Inspection Report# : [2000004\(pdf\)](#)

Significance: TBD Mar 10, 2000

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: FIN Finding

MANAGEMENT, ORGANIZATION, RESPONSIBILITIES, SELF-ASSESSMENTS, AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The operational procedures for the loading, unloading, and transferring activities associated with the TN-68 cask storage system included the appropriate acceptance criteria and met ISFSI program needs and regulatory requirements. The procedures were highly detailed, and reviewed and approved in accordance with the licensee's administrative program for document control.

Inspection Report# : [2000004\(pdf\)](#)

Significance: TBD Mar 10, 2000

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: FIN Finding

PROCEDURES, DOCUMENT CONTROLS, & RECORDS

The operational procedures for the loading, unloading, and transferring activities associated with the TN-68 cask storage system included the appropriate acceptance criteria and met ISFSI program needs and regulatory requirements. The procedures were highly detailed, and reviewed and approved in accordance with the licensee's administrative program for document control.

Inspection Report# : [2000004\(pdf\)](#)

Last modified : March 01, 2002